Formats for Preparing District-wise Report for Regional Progress Review Workshop, 2017-18

Name of the District:

**Part A: General Information of the District**

* No. of upazila of the district: New: ---------------, Old: ---------------------------
* Total population of the district:
* No. of total household of the district:
* No. of agricultural household of the district:
* Household benefitted by NATP interventions: (i) CIG ---------------- (ii) Non-CIG -----------
* Information of livestock population of the district:
* Information of production of meat, milk and egg:
* Others (If related)

**Part B: Implementation Progress of NATP-2**

**Table-1: Information of CIG formation and farmers enrollment in CIG**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of Pourasova | No. of Union | Total No. of CEAL | No. of Female CEAL | No. of Indigenous  CEAL | No. of CIG | No. of Female CIG | No. of Indigenous  CIG | Total No. of Member in CIG | No. of Female Member in CIG | No. of Indigenous Member in CIG |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-2: Information of functionality of CIG**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of CIG have bank account | No. of CIG have got registration | No. of CIG hold meeting regularly | No. of CIG started savings | Amount saved (Tk.)  (Till-date) | No. of CIG started investment | Amount invested (Tk.) (Till-date) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-3: Information of category-wise farmers in CIG**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | Category-wise no. of farmers in CIG (On the basis of land holding)(1=landless (<=.49 acre), 2= marginal (0.50-1.49 acre), 3=small (1.50-2.49 acre), 4=medium (2.50-7.49) and large (7.5 and above acre) | | | | | |
| Landless | Marginal | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-4: Information of Livestock Ownership of CIGs before NATP-2 and At Present**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of Cow of Cow Rearing CIGs | | | | No. of Beef Cattle of Beef Fattening CIGs | | | | No. of goat of Goat Rearing CIGs | | No. of poultry of Poultry Rearing CIGs | |  |  |
| Before NATP | | At present | | Before NATP | | At present | | Before NATP | At present | Before NATP | At present |  |  |
| Local | Cross | Local | Cross | Local | Cross | Local | Cross |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-5: Information of extension planning for 2017-18**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | CIG Microplan prepared (Number) | Union Extension Microplan prepared (Number) | Upazila Extension Plan prepared and approved (Number) | Major problems identified during preparation of CIG microplan, in brief | Remarks |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-6: Information of CIG farmers training on improved livestock technology management**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of Batch | No. of participants (CIG farmers) attended training course on | | | | | | | No. of participants | | |
| Cow rearing | Beef Fattening | Goat rearing | Poultry rearing |  |  |  | Total | Female | Indigenous |
|  | Birol | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-7: Information of implementation of technology demonstration**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of demonstration established on | | | | | | | Total no. of demonstration  implemented | No. of demonstration implemented by | |
| Cow rearing | Beef fattening | Goat rearing | Poultry rearing | Fodder cultivation |  |  | Female farmer | Indigenous farmer |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-8: Information of performance of technology demonstration**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Demonstration | Total no. of demonstration implemented | Average duration of demonstration | Average size/area of demonstration | Average productivity of demonstration | Average productivity of before demo/ control farmer | Remarks |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total/Average** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-9: Information of implementation of Field Days, Exposure Visit and Non-CIG Farmers Rally**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | Implementation of Field Days | | | Implementation of Exposure Visit | | | Implementation of Non-CIG Farmers Rally | | |
| No. of Field Days | Farmers attended (Number) | Female farmers attended (Number) | No. of Exposure visit | Farmers attended (Number) | Female farmers attended (Number) | No. of Farmers Rally | Farmers attended (Number) | Female farmers attended (Number) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-10: Information of implementation of Vaccination Campaign**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of Vaccination Campaign implemented | No. of livestock vaccinated | | | | | No. of farmers benefitted from campaign | No. of female farmers benefitted from campaign |
| Cattle | Goat | Poultry |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-11: Information of implementation of De-worming Campaign**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of De-worming Campaign implemented | No. of livestock were given de-worming medicine | | | No. of farmers benefitted from campaign | No. of female farmers benefitted from campaign |
| Cattle | Goat | Poultry |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-12: Information of implementation of Infertility Campaign**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of Infertility Campaign implemented | No. of cow/heifer were diagnosed and advised | No. of farmers benefitted from campaign | No. of female farmers benefitted from campaign | Major problems indentified in campaign |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-13: Information of functionality of FIAC**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of FIAC set up | No. of farmers visited FIAC for taking advice/service | Major problems recorded | Extension materials distributed from FIAC | | Service facility available at FIAC | Activities initiated to familiarize FIAC |
| Name of material | No. of copy distributed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-14: Information of performance evaluation of CIG using Balanced Scorecard**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | Total no. of CIGs | No. of CIGs evaluated in  2017-18 | No. of CIG obtained | | | |
| Grade-A | Grade-B | Grade-C | Grade-D |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-15: Information of environmental safeguard activities**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of Bio-gas plant established | No. of pit established for cow dung preservation | No. of plant established for carcass management | No. of Slurry Management Unit set up | No. of compost pit set up |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**16. Value Chain Development Activities**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of person involved | Use of cream (Kg) | Production of cheese (Kg) | Production of chana (Kg) | Meat processing (kg) | Egg packaging (No.) | Broiler Processing (Kg) | Making curd (Kg) |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-17: Information of implementation of AIF-2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of sub-project submitted | No. of sub-project approved | Total budget approved for sub-project (Tk.) | Amount/ Quantity of product processed/marketed (Kg) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |

**Table-18: Information of implementation of AIF-3**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of sub-project submitted | No. of sub-project approved | Total budget approved for sub-project (Tk.) | Amount/Quantity of product processed/ marketed (Kg) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |

**Table-19: Information of livestock technology adoption by CIG farmers**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of adopter by technology | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total no. of CIG adopter | | |
| Cow rearing | | Fattening | | Goat rearing | | Poultry rearing | | Fodder | |  | |  | |
| Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Indigenous |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-20: Information of livestock technology adoption of by Non-CIG farmers**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of adopter by technology | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total no. of non-CIG adopter | | |
| Cow rearing | | Fattening | | Goat rearing | | Poultry rearing | | Fodder | |  | |  | |
| Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Total | Female | Indigenous |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table-21: Information of productivity of meat, milk and egg of adopter**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | | Beef: Body weight gaining (gm/day/cattle) | | Milk production  (Litre/day/cow) | | Goat:  Body weight gaining (gm/day/goat) | Egg-Egg production  (No./hen/year) | | |
| Local | Cross | Local | Cross | Native | Sonali | Duck |
| 1 |  | Before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | Before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | Before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | Before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**22. Women Participation in NATP Activities**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SL. & | Name of Upazila | No. of Female CIG | Total no. of female member in CIG | No. of Female farmers trained | No. of female CIG started group savings | Amount saved by female CIG farmers (Tk.) | No. of female CIG started investment | Amount invested by female CIG |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table -23: Information of CEAL activities**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sl. # | Name of Upazila | No. of CEAL | No. of farmers advised by CEAL | No. of livestock and poultry vaccinated by CEAL (Except Vaccination Campaign) | | | No. of livestock and poultry were given de-worming medicine by CEAL (Except De-worming Campaign) | | | Average monthly income per CEAL (Tk.) |  |
| Cattle | Goat | Poultry | Cattle | Goat | Poultry |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Researchable issues identified from the field during implementation of NATP-2 activities**
2. **Major Challenges**
3. **Lesson Learned**
4. **Recommendation**
5. **Success Stories**
6. **Good quality some photos on NATP activities**

(CIG meeting, microplan preparation by CIG, training, demonstration, field days, exposure visit, various campaigns, environmental safeguard related photo (Bio-gas plant, cow dung pit, composting, slurry management etc.) and other related photos with caption)

1. **Other issues, if any**